

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BROWN COUNTY
PUBLIC SAFETY COMMITTEE

Pursuant to Section 19.84 Wis. Stats., a regular meeting of the **Brown County Public Safety Committee** was held on Tuesday, December 3, 2019 at the Brown County Sheriff's Office, 2684 Development Drive, Green Bay, Wisconsin.

Present: Chair Buckley, Supervisor Gruszynski, Supervisor Borchardt
Excused: Supervisor Schadewald, Supervisor Nicholson
Also Present: Supervisor Deneys, Supervisor Brusky, DA Office Manager Michele Andresen, Judge Donald Zuidmulder, Human Services Manager Mark Vanden Hoogen, Director of Administration Chad Weininger, Chief Deputy Bradley Brodbeck, other interested parties.

I. Call meeting to order.

The meeting was called to order by Chair Pat Buckley at 5:00 pm.

II. Approve/Modify Agenda.

Motion made by Supervisor Gruszynski, seconded by Supervisor Borchardt to modify the agenda to take Item 22 following Item 2. Vote taken. **MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY**

III. Approve/Modify Minutes of October 8, 2019.

Motion made by Supervisor Gruszynski, seconded by Supervisor Borchardt to approve. Vote taken. **MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY**

Comments from the Public. None.

1. Review Minutes of:

a. Local Emergency Planning Committee - LEPC (October 8 and November 12, 2019).

Motion made by Supervisor Gruszynski, seconded by Supervisor Borchardt to receive and place on file. Vote taken. **MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY**

b. Planning Commission Board of Directors (October 2, 2019).

Motion made by Supervisor Gruszynski, seconded by Supervisor Borchardt to receive and place on file. Vote taken. **MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY**

Presentation

2. Presentation by Judge Zuidmulder re: Status/Update re: Treatment Courts.

Judge Zuidmulder provided a handout, a copy of which is attached, which outlines the current numbers in each of the Treatment Courts. He recalled when he started the first Treatment Court in 2009 he assured the County Board, this Committee and Human Services Committee that he would come before them regularly to report on the status of the Treatment Courts and answer any questions.

Tonight Judge Zuidmulder shared that there are now five Treatment Courts with a total of 102 participants. He noted that Judge Liegeios will be taking over the Veterans Treatment Court. Judge Zuidmulder pointed out the OWI Treatment Court has the highest number of participants and there are also a number of people waiting to get in the OWI Treatment Court. It was noted that the OWI Treatment Court consists of people who are highly

functional in the community and typically require the least amount of services from the staff and therefore the numbers in the OWI Treatment Court can be a little higher. The preferred population in the rest of the Treatment Courts is about 17 – 25. Judge Zuidmulder is proud of where the treatment Courts are at this time.

Judge Zuidmulder continued by informing that Judge Tom Walsh who currently presides over the Heroin Treatment Court has an interest in starting a Family Treatment Court similar to what is currently being done in Milwaukee County. This is a Court that involves itself with children in need of protection and services who have parents who have pending criminal proceedings which are typically related to alcohol or drugs. Children are taken out of these homes and are placed in protective placement while there are criminal charges pending in one court and CHIPS proceedings in another court. The purpose of a Family Treatment Court is to have a single judge managing all of the cases that relate to the family at one time. That judge then knows everything that is going on and has all of the tools of all of the courts at his disposal. Judge Walsh will be starting a Family Treatment Court in Brown County in January. He has been working with Health and Human Services Director Erik Pritzl on this. Pritzl feels strongly about this program and because of what Humans Services has been doing in this area already, Human Services is going to start the program and provide the staffing for it and then will be applying for a grant later in the year. Judge Zuidmulder feels this Family Treatment Court is going to be a terrific addition to the Treatment Courts already in existence. He continued that some of the participants currently in the Heroin Court and Drug Court could possibly be transferred to the Family Court program with the idea of being able to focus on repairing the family and taking care of the kids.

Judge Zuidmulder also reported that he has met recently with the Oneida Nation who is considering starting a Wellness Court and they would like to partner with Brown County's Treatment Courts so Native Americans can be put in a program they can work with and be supervised. The great part about this is that the Oneida Nation has a considerable amount of money for inpatient treatment. The timeframe for opening up the Treatment Courts to members of the Oneida Nation is sometime in the middle of the year.

Although shown in the proper format here, Item 22 was taken at this time.

District Attorney

3. District Attorney Report.

Office Manager Michele Andresen informed that two positions have been posted for the 2020 budget and they are hopeful they will get some great candidates. In addition, Victim Witness grant positions have been posted and interviews have been completed. With regard to the budget, numbers are looking good for 2019 and Andresen informed there will be some carryover funds in the expert witness area.

Motion made by Supervisor Gruszynski, seconded by Supervisor Borchardt to receive and place on file. Vote taken. **MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY**

Public Safety Communications

4. Budget Status Financial Report for September and October 2019.

Motion made by Supervisor Gruszynski, seconded by Supervisor Borchardt to receive and place on file. Vote taken. **MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY**

5. Director's Report.

No report; no action taken.

Emergency Management

6. Budget Status Financial Report for September and October 2019.

Motion made by Supervisor Borchardt, seconded by Supervisor Gruszynski to receive and place on file. Vote taken. **MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY**

7. Director's Report.

No report; no action taken.

Circuit Courts, Commissioners, Probate

8. Budget Status Financial Report for September and October 2019.

Motion made by Supervisor Borchardt, seconded by Supervisor Gruszynski to receive and place on file. Vote taken. **MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY**

9. Director's Report.

No report; no action taken.

Clerk of Courts

10. Clerk of Courts Report.

No report; no action taken.

Medical Examiner

11. Budget Status Financial Report for October 2019 (Unaudited).

Motion made by Supervisor Borchardt, seconded by Supervisor Gruszynski to receive and place on file. Vote taken. **MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY**

12. Medical Examiner Activity Spreadsheet.

Motion made by Supervisor Borchardt, seconded by Supervisor Gruszynski to receive and place on file. Vote taken. **MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY**

13. Medical Examiner's Report.

No report; no action taken.

Sheriff

14. Update re: Jail Addition – *Standing Item*.

Chief Deputy Bradley Brodbeck informed site prep has been started. Things go out for bid on December 18 and they are due on February 6, 2020.

Motion made by Supervisor Gruszynski, seconded by Supervisor Borchardt to receive and place on file. Vote taken. **MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY**

15. Budget Status Financial Report for October 2019 (Unaudited).

Motion made by Supervisor Gruszynski, seconded by Supervisor Borchardt to receive and place on file. Vote taken. **MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY**

16. Key Factor Report – Data through October 2019 (Unaudited).

Motion made by Supervisor Borchardt, seconded by Supervisor Gruszynski to receive and place on file. Vote taken. **MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY**

17. Budget Adjustment Request (19-089): Any increase in expenses with an offsetting increase in revenue.

During 2019 the Sheriff's Office acquired two K-9 dogs and training with those dogs through the Vest-a-Dog program which donated the dogs to the County. To record this donation, this budget adjustment increases the outlay and contributed capital account with no levy impact.

Motion made by Supervisor Gruszynski, seconded by Supervisor Borchardt to approve. Vote taken. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

18. Budget Adjustment Request (19-095): Any increase in expenses with an offsetting increase in revenue.

This 2019 budget adjustment is to increase grant revenue and related outlay expense to participate in Capital Credit Union's "Elevating Communities" grant. This grant provides funds for the purchase of 18 air purifying respirators (gas masks) for first responders. There is a local match of \$38.50 required for this grant to come from undesignated SWAT supplies budget as the total cost was a bit more than the approved grant amount.

Motion made by Supervisor Gruszynski, seconded by Supervisor Borchardt to approve. Vote taken. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

19. Budget Adjustment Request (19-096): Any increase in expenses with an offsetting increase in revenue.

This 2019 budget adjustment is to increase grant revenue and related outlay expense to participate in Homeland Security WEM ALERT EOD grant (2019-HSW-02A-11814). The grant provides funds for the purchase of five remote firing systems that allow bomb squads to remotely detonate explosives. One of the five systems will be retained by Brown County and the other four distributed to other regional bomb teams. Each system costs approximately \$5,598. There is no local match required for this grant.

Motion made by Supervisor Gruszynski, seconded by Supervisor Borchardt to approve. Vote taken. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

20. Resolution Approving New or Deleted Positions in the Sheriff's Department Table of Organization (Resolution to be handed out at the meeting).

Weininger provided several resolutions, copies of which are attached. These resolutions were discussed at the budget meeting and are now broken out into three separate resolutions. The first resolution is regarding a TO change from a Secretary III to an Evidence Property Specialist for the Drug Task Force. The second resolution relates to market rate adjustments for the Civilian Evidence Tech and the Evidence and property Specialist. This Resolution would be to bring these positions to the midpoint. Weininger does not have the authority to do this without Board approval and generally, the Board agrees to this as long as there is an offsetting cut to cover the adjustments. The third resolution relates to the Office Manager position. Weininger noted that the person in this position has been with the County for a very long time and this resolution would move this person up a little bit above the midpoint which is more of an internal equity thing to get the person closer to the rest of the Office Managers.

Weininger continued that all of these Resolutions are budget neutral because the Sheriff has found offsetting costs to make up the difference and he reiterated that in the past the Board has approved these.

Motion made by Supervisor Gruszynski, seconded by Supervisor Borchardt to approve resolutions as presented and refer to Executive Committee. Vote taken. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

21. Sheriff's Report.

Chief Deputy Brodbeck informed that at the monthly Chief's meeting Sheriff Delain and the Emergency Management Director put together a response team for the anticipated flooding in the spring. They are working to get a plan into action and there will be additional meetings regarding this. He recalled the flooding that

happened in April and said that spring is anticipated to be worse than that as the water levels are about another foot. The biggest thing that needs to be addressed is the East River and they are talking about that.

Motion made by Supervisor Gruszynski, seconded by Supervisor Borchardt to receive and place on file. Vote taken. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Communications

- 22. Communication from Supervisors Brusky, Schadewald and Deneys re: Request \$160,000 from the County's Contingency Fund for Public Works (Facilities) to hire an architectural firm to design the proposed Courthouse Security Annex, including examining alternative options (design and location: internal/external) to reduce the projected \$1.95 million cost while maintaining security needs and the historic aesthetics of the courthouse. *Referred from October County Board.***

Supervisors Brusky and Deneys addressed the Committee. Deneys said the \$160,000 being requested is what would be needed to start the process of getting someone in to look at costs and design. Deneys feels until an architect is hired to come in and start looking at the different options, we cannot say what the cost will be. Director of Administration Chad Weininger informed that Public Works Director Paul Fontecchio provided a memo to the Public Safety Committee and Planning, Development and Transportation Committee regarding the \$1.95 million dollar figure which was arrived at after the Sheriff and some others looked at some options and then forwarded that information to the Concord Group. The next step would be to get an architect who would be able to really value engineer design this to come up with a more accurate figure. Weininger continued that a lawsuit has been settled recently which will provide some funding for this and there is other money set aside that can be used for this also.

Buckley said they ended up with the plan to do this to the north exit after the walk around with Public Works. It could not be done to the south, there is not enough space available to the east and the Huber facility prevents the secure access being located on the west side. Buckley said he does not have a problem allocating the \$160,000 for the architect, but he wants to be sure the County Board as a group agrees on the direction to go. He spoke with Paul Fontecchio earlier to find out what other alternatives exist and Fontecchio did not have much in terms of alternatives. Buckley noted that at some point in time something has to be done with the Huber facility but it would likely be several years down the road so looking at doing something there would likely delay the security project. Another consideration is where to have the "front door" located and he noted that putting it between the Huber facility and the DA's Office would probably create a funnel. Buckley also noted that the only option which has really been discussed is the north entrance.

Supervisor Borchardt feels it would be good to talk about an access point being located near the DA's office, above where the breakroom is. She and Supervisor Brusky toured the courthouse with the Sheriff and that area was mentioned as an access point. Deneys added that he has also spoken with the Chief Deputy about this and noted that if there is a secured entrance located in that area there is a possibility that it would not have to tie into the historical nature of the building. There may also be a possibility to tie that access point in with the DA's Office and the Law Enforcement Center thus securing both locations.

Weininger explained this communication would have to be referred to Administration Committee for approval of expending the funds. The process of hiring an architect would have to be done through the RFP process and Weininger noted that the Committee would be able to determine what is included in the RFP. The other option would be to take a smaller portion and re-engage the original firm that looked at this to do a couple of conceptual drawings.

Supervisor Gruszynski said if we do the RFP and do not get any responses because it is too complex, we would have to do something else. Buckley feels that before we spend money on people putting together hypotheticals, we should have the appropriate players look at the layout and see what other options may exist. He would like to do this with staff from the Sheriff's Office, Public Works, DA's office and courthouse security and he also noted that there is still the looming issue of the Huber facility and how that will fit into this. Buckley does not want to delay this, but he also does not want to spend money on an architect and hypotheticals. Deneys agreed and said some of these things can be locked down in the design of the RFP. Deneys said it is his understanding that a lot of the \$1.95 million dollar estimate involved the historical nature of the project and he feels that that could be reduced if the entrance could be incorporated in the DA's Office. In addition, if the safety of another building can

be incorporated in this, it would make sense, especially since a lot of the same individuals go into both buildings. Deney's feels this would be the most responsible way to spend taxpayer dollars. He feels the first step would be to get the okay to spend the money for an architect. Gruszynski feels this should be moved on to Administration Committee and suggested a joint meeting of the Administration Committee and Public Safety Committee to do a walk around.

Weininger suggested instead of a joint meeting, that a work group be formed so there are not issues with things like quorums and public notice requirements. He noted that the Public Safety Committee is the oversight Committee on the design of this; the role of the Administration Committee would only be to approve the expenditure of the funds. A work group was further discussed.

Motion made by Supervisor Gruszynski, seconded by Supervisor Borchardt to move the communication on to Administration Committee for approval of funding and also create a work group that will administer the RFP and report back to Public Safety Committee in January 2020. Vote taken. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Other

23. Audit of bills.

Motion made by Supervisor Gruszynski, seconded by Supervisor Borchardt to acknowledge receipt of the bills. Vote taken. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

24. Such other matters as authorized by law.

The next meeting date was discussed and tentative dates of January 7 or 8 were set.

25. Adjourn.

Motion made by Supervisor Borchardt, seconded by Supervisor Gruszynski to adjourn at 5:49 pm. Vote taken. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Respectfully submitted,

Therese Giannunzio
Administrative Specialist

BROWN COUNTY HEALTH & HUMAN SERVICES

Treatment Alternatives and Diversion Program
300 E. Walnut St.
Green Bay, WI 54301



Phone (920) 391-4849 Fax (920) 391-4849

Total # in Treatment Courts (High Risk/Need): 102 participants
Total # in Diversion (Low Risk/Need): 64 participants
Total # graduated/completed in last reporting period: 37 participants

Drug Court:
Judge Marc Hammer

Category	Number
Total Participants to Date	146
Current Participants	21
Individuals in Referral Process	6
Successful Graduates	52
Graduations in the last reporting period	4

The Brown County Drug Court held its first court session on 7/31/09. The target population of Drug Court are individuals that have had heavy involvement with the criminal justice system (Prior Prison Sentences, Failed Probationary periods or Treatment, Significant Criminal Charges) that have an identified AODA need. The national average for terminations is between 25-40%; with 138 total participants and 57 terminations, we are currently at 40%. If you exclude terminations that occurred within the first 60 days of acceptance our termination rate is at 36%.

NEW Veterans Treatment Court:
Judge Beau Liegeois

Category	Number
Total Participants to Date	93
Current Participants	17
Individuals in Referral Process	4
Successful Graduates	69
Graduations in last reporting period	1

The NEWVTC accepted its first participant on 3/20/2012. The NEWVTC Treatment Court is designed specifically to staff and handle cases involving offenders with veteran status through an intensive, judicially monitored program of alcohol, drug, and mental health treatment, rehabilitation services and strict community supervision.

Mental Health Court:
Judge Donald Zuidmulder

Category	Number
Total Participants to Date	71
Current Participants	17
Individuals in Referral Process	4
Successful Graduates	23
Maximum Benefits Achieved	15
Graduations in last reporting period	3

The Mental Health Court accepted its first participant on 03/20/2015. The Mental Health Court serves individuals within the community who have a diagnosed serious/persistent mental health need. Additionally, that unmet need is evidenced to be the primary factor behind their ongoing criminal justice involvement. The Mental Health Court's goals are to re-establish participants with their providers, develop an obtainable independent living plan, and provide intensive case management and supervision services. The national average for terminations in a Treatment Court is between 25-40%; with 61 total participants and 13 terminations we are currently at 21%. If you exclude terminations that occurred within the first 60 days of acceptance our termination rate is 10%.

Heroin Court:
Judge Thomas Walsh

Category	Number
Total Participants to Date	84
Current Participants	22
Individuals in Referral Process	1
Successful Graduates	32
Graduations in last reporting period	4

Heroin Court accepted its first participant on 03/26/15 and held its first court date on 4/2/16. The purpose of the court is to specifically address the growing abuse of Heroin and Opiates in Brown County and to provide comprehensive treatment and supervision services to individuals within Brown County. In addition to serving the High Risk/Need population that exhausted conventional means of supervision and treatment, the Heroin Court also admits individuals with first time heroin/opiate crimes in order to preemptively provide the needed services to reduce risk of serious harm.

OWI Court
Judge John Zakowski

Category	Number
Total Participants to Date	23
Current Participants	25
Individuals in Referral Process	2
Successful Graduates	0
Total Number of Terminations	0

OWI Treatment Court accepted its first participant on 7/2/18 and held its first court session on 11/06/18. The OWI Treatment Court's target population are individuals that have an OWI 4th and above with a B.A.C of .15 and above.

Brown County Diversion Program (Numbers are from 10/2016)

Category	Number
Total Participants to Date	326
Current Participants	64
Successful Graduates/Completed	217
Successful completions since last reporting period	15

The purpose of the Brown County Diversion program is to divert low risk or first time offenders away from the criminal justice system. This is done by addressing the "root" of the problem that led to the criminal activity. All referrals come for the District Attorney's office for consideration.

**** The Law Enforcement database is still an internal use only at this point. It is unknown when external access will be granted to update the Law Enforcement numbers.****

Brown County Treatment Court Statistics

Heroin Court

Police Calls/Contacts (Prior Heroin Court)	Jail Placements (Prior Heroin Court)	Police Calls/Contacts (Post Heroin Court)	Jail Placements (Post Heroin Court)
1157	492	73	49

There was a 94% decrease in Police Calls/Contacts during and after completion of Heroin Treatment Court and 90.1% decrease in Jail Placements from pre to post treatment court.

Veterans Treatment Court

Police Calls/Contacts (Prior VTC)	Jail Placements (Prior VTC)	Police Calls/Contacts (Post VTC)	Jail Placements (Post VTC)
353	284	118	30

There was a 67% decrease in Police Calls/Contacts during and after completion of VTC and a 89.5% decrease in Jail Placement from pre to post treatment court.

Mental Health Court

Police Calls/Contacts (Prior MHC)	Jail Placements (Prior MHC)	Police Calls/Contacts (Post MHC)	Jail Placements (Post MHC)
1469	524	93	53

There was a 94% decrease in Police Calls/Contact during and after completion of MHC. There was a decrease of 90% of jail placements during and after MHC.

Drug Court

Police Calls/Contacts (Prior Drug Court)	Jail Placements (Prior Drug Court)	Police Calls/Contacts (Post Drug Court)	Jail Placements (Post Drug Court)
1139	599	80	57

There was a 93% decrease in Police Calls/Contact during and after completion of Drug Court. There was a decrease of 90.5% of jail placements during and after Drug Court.

Total of All Brown County Treatment Courts

Police Calls/Contacts (Prior Treatment Court)	Jail Placements (Prior Treatment Court)	Police Calls/Contacts (Post Treatment Court)	Jail Placements (Post Treatment Court)
4118	1899	364	189

Overall when you factor in all of the Treatment Courts there is a 91% decrease in Police Calls/Contacts (from last known data) and a decrease of 90.1 % in Jail Placements post involvement with Treatment Courts.

December 18, 2019

TO THE HONORABLE CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS
OF THE BROWN COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Ladies and Gentlemen:

**RESOLUTION REGARDING TABLE OF ORGANIZATION CHANGE
FOR THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT - EVIDENCE/PROPERTY SPECIALIST**

WHEREAS, the Sheriff's Department ("Department") evaluated its operations and determined the need for an additional Evidence/Property Specialist position to be added to its table of organization; and

WHEREAS, this position will retrieve evidential exhibits for law enforcement officers, systemize incoming seized evidence and ensure the complete record of chain of custody; and

WHEREAS, the duties can no longer be completed by the Evidence Sergeant due to technological upgrades; and

WHEREAS, Human Resources, in conjunction with the Department, recommends the following changes to the Department's table of organization: the deletion of one (1.00) FTE Secretary III position in pay grade F of the Classification and Compensation Plan, and the addition of one (1.00) FTE Evidence/Property Specialist position in pay grade G of the Classification and Compensation Plan.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Brown County Board of Supervisors that the following changes to the Department's table of organization are hereby approved: the deletion of one (1.00) FTE Secretary III position in pay grade F of the Classification and Compensation Plan, and the addition of one (1.00) FTE Evidence/Property Specialist position in pay grade G of the Classification and Compensation Plan, as more fully described in the Budget Impact section of this Resolution.

Budget Impact: Sheriff's Department

Annual Budget Impact (2020)	FTE	Addition/ Deletion	Salary	Fringe	Total
Secretary III \$20.61/hr Position # 104.060.074 Hours: 1,965	1.00	Deletion	(\$40,499)	(\$13,138)	(\$53,637)
Evidence/Property Specialist \$22.50/hr Position # 104.060.074 Hours: 1,965	1.00	Addition	\$44,213	\$13,713	\$57,926
Jail medical contract over estimated cost GL Acct #- 5708					(4,289)
Annual Budget Impact					- 0 -

Fiscal Note: This resolution does not require an appropriation from the General Fund. The funding for the changes are coming from internal reductions.

Respectfully submitted,
PUBLIC SAFETY COMMITTEE
EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Approved By:

TROY STRECKENBACH
COUNTY EXECUTIVE

Date Signed: _____

19-133R

Authored by Sheriff's Department

Approved by Corporation Counsel Office

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS ROLL CALL # _____

Motion made by Supervisor _____

Seconded by Supervisor _____

SUPERVISORS	DIST. #	AYES	NAYS	ABSTAIN	EXCUSED
SIEBER	1				
DE WANE	2				
NICHOLSON	3				
HOYER	4				
GRUSZYNSKI	5				
LEFEBVRE	6				
ERICKSON	7				
BORCHARDT	8				
EVANS	9				
VANDER LEEST	10				
BUCKLEY	11				
LANDWEHR	12				
DANTINNE, JR	13				

SUPERVISORS	DIST. #	AYES	NAYS	ABSTAIN	EXCUSED
BRUSKY	14				
BALLARD	15				
KASTER	16				
VAN DYCK	17				
LINSSEN	18				
KNEISZEL	19				
DESLAURIERS	20				
TRAN	21				
MOYNIHAN, JR.	22				
SUENNEN	23				
SCHADEWALD	24				
LUND	25				
DENEYS	26				

Total Votes Cast _____

Motion: Adopted _____ Defeated _____ Tabled _____

December 18, 2019

TO THE HONORABLE CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS
OF THE BROWN COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Ladies and Gentlemen:

**RESOLUTION REGARDING TABLE OF ORGANIZATION CHANGE
FOR THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT - EVIDENCE POSITIONS**

WHEREAS, the Sheriff's Department ("Department") has requested to give two individuals in the Department's evidence area pay increases utilizing funding available in the Department's budget; and

WHEREAS, the Department recommends the following changes to the Department's table of organization: the deletion of one (1.00) FTE Civilian Evidence Tech position and the deletion of one (1.00) FTE Evidence/Property Specialist position, and the addition of one (1.00) FTE Civilian Evidence Tech position and the addition of one (1.00) Evidence/Property Specialist position.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Brown County Board of Supervisors, that the following changes to the Department's table of organization are hereby approved: the deletion of one (1.00) FTE Civilian Evidence Tech position and the deletion of one (1.00) FTE Evidence/Property Specialist position, and the addition of one (1.00) FTE Civilian Evidence Tech position and the addition of one (1.00) Evidence/Property Specialist position, as more fully described in the Budget Impact section of this Resolution.

Budget Impact: Sheriff's Department

Annual Budget Impact (2020)	FTE	Addition/ Deletion	Salary	Fringe	Total
Civilian Evidence Tech \$25.92/hr Position # 101.700.074 Hours: 2,096	1.00	Deletion	(\$54,328)	(\$26,397)	(\$80,725)
Evidence/Property Specialist \$20.50/hr Position # 101.078.074 Hours: 2,096	1.00	Deletion	(\$42,968)	(\$24,416)	(\$67,384)
Civilian Evidence Tech \$28.55/hr Position # 101.700.074 Hours: 2,096	1.00	Addition	\$59,841	\$27,003	\$86,844
Evidence/Property Specialist \$22.50/hr Position # 101.078.074 Hours: 2,096	1.00	Addition	\$47,160	\$24,817	\$71,977
Re-allocation of Budget (Adore FTO Program, Special Invest Fund, Pro- phoenix to CAD interface, jail medical contract over estimated cost)					(10,712)
Annual Budget Impact					- 0 -

Fiscal Note: This resolution does not require an appropriation from the General Fund. The funding for the changes are coming from internal reductions.

Respectfully submitted,
PUBLIC SAFETY COMMITTEE
EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Approved By:

TROY STRECKENBACH
COUNTY EXECUTIVE

Date Signed: _____

19-134R
Authored by Sheriff's Department
Approved by Corporation Counsel Office

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS ROLL CALL # _____

Motion made by Supervisor _____

Seconded by Supervisor _____

SUPERVISORS	DIST. #	AYES	NAYS	ABSTAIN	EXCUSED
SIEBER	1				
DE WANE	2				
NICHOLSON	3				
HOYER	4				
GRUSZYNSKI	5				
LEFEBVRE	6				
ERICKSON	7				
BORCHARDT	8				
EVANS	9				
VANDER LEEST	10				
BUCKLEY	11				
LANDWEHR	12				
DANTINNE, JR	13				

SUPERVISORS	DIST. #	AYES	NAYS	ABSTAIN	EXCUSED
BRUSKY	14				
BALLARD	15				
KASTER	16				
VAN DYCK	17				
LINSEN	18				
KNEISZEL	19				
DESLAURIERS	20				
TRAN	21				
MOYNIHAN, JR.	22				
SUENNEN	23				
SCHADEWALD	24				
LUND	25				
DENEYS	26				

Total Votes Cast _____

Motion: Adopted _____ Defeated _____ Tabled _____

December 18, 2019

TO THE HONORABLE CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS
OF THE BROWN COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Ladies and Gentlemen:

**RESOLUTION REGARDING TABLE OF ORGANIZATION CHANGE
FOR THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT - OFFICE MANAGER II**

WHEREAS, the Sheriff's Department ("Department") has requested to give an individual in the Department a pay increase within their pay range due to longevity; and

WHEREAS, the Department recommends the following changes to the Department's table of organization: the deletion of one (1.00) FTE Office Manager II position in pay grade 5 of the Classification and Compensation plan, and the addition of one (1.00) FTE Office Manager II position in pay grade 5 of the Classification and Compensation plan.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Brown County Board of Supervisors, that the following changes to the Department's table of organization are hereby approved: The deletion of one (1.00) FTE Office Manager II position in pay grade 5 of the Classification and Compensation plan, and the addition of one (1.00) FTE Office Manager II position in pay grade 5 of the Classification and Compensation plan, as more fully described in the Budget Impact section of this Resolution.

Budget Impact: Sheriffs

Annual Budget Impact (2020)	FTE	Addition/ Deletion	Salary	Fringe	Total
Office Manager II \$24.34/hr Position # 106.060.074 Hours: 2,096	1.00	Deletion	(\$51,017)	(\$14,825)	(\$65,842)
Office Manager II \$25.05/hr Position # 106.060.074 Hours: 2,096	1.00	Addition	\$52,505	\$15,056	\$67,561
Wage Adjustment Savings					(\$1,719)
Annual Budget Impact					\$0

Fiscal Note: This resolution does not require an appropriation from the General Fund. The funding for the changes are coming from internal reductions.

Respectfully submitted,
PUBLIC SAFETY COMMITTEE
EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Approved By:

TROY STRECKENBACH
COUNTY EXECUTIVE

Date Signed: _____

19-135R

Authored by Sheriff's Department

Approved by Corporation Counsel Office

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS ROLL CALL # _____

Motion made by Supervisor _____

Seconded by Supervisor _____

SUPERVISORS	DIST. #	AYES	NAYS	ABSTAIN	EXCUSED
SIEBER	1				
DE WANE	2				
NICHOLSON	3				
HOYER	4				
GRUSZYNSKI	5				
LEFEBVRE	6				
ERICKSON	7				
BORCHARDT	8				
EVANS	9				
VANDER LEESE	10				
BUCKLEY	11				
LANDWEHR	12				
DANTINNE, JR	13				

SUPERVISORS	DIST. #	AYES	NAYS	ABSTAIN	EXCUSED
BRUSKY	14				
BALLARD	15				
KASTER	16				
VAN DYCK	17				
LINSSEN	18				
KNEISZEL	19				
DESLAURIERS	20				
TRAN	21				
MOYNIHAN, JR.	22				
SUENNEN	23				
SCHADEWALD	24				
LUND	25				
DENEYS	26				

Total Votes Cast _____

Motion: Adopted _____ Defeated _____ Tabled _____